

NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

Harold Runnels Building 1190 South St. Francis Drive (87505) P.O. Box 5469, Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469 Phone (505) 827-0187 Fax (505) 827-0160 www.env.nm.gov



BUTCH TONGATE Cabinet Secretary

J. C. BORREGO Deputy Secretary

Certified Mail - Return Receipt Requested

April 19, 2017

Mr. Humberto Reyes, Plant Manager New Aces Pecan Company 2455 Entrada Del Sol Las Cruces, NM 88001

Re: New Aces Pecan Company, MSGP; SIC 2099; NPDES Compliance Evaluation Inspection; NPDES # NMR053428; March 20, 2017

Dear Mr. Humberto Reyes:

Enclosed please find a copy of the report and check list for the referenced inspection that the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) conducted at your facility on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). This inspection report will be sent to the USEPA in Dallas for their review. These inspections are used by USEPA to determine compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting program in accordance with requirements of the federal Clean Water Act.

You are encouraged to review the inspection report, required to correct any problems noted during the inspection, and advised to modify your operational and/or administrative procedures, as appropriate. If you have comments on or concerns with the basis for the findings in the NMED inspection report, please contact us (see the address below) in writing within 30 days from the date of this letter. Further, you are encouraged to notify in writing both the USEPA and NMED regarding modifications and compliance schedules at the addresses below:

NPDES Enforcement Coordinator Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6 NPDES Enforcement Branch (6EN-WM) 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, Texas 75202-2733 Program Manager New Mexico Environment Department Surface Water Quality Bureau (N2050) Point Source Regulation Section P.O. Box 5469 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502 New Aces Pecan Company, NPDES #NMR053428 April 19, 2017 Page 2 of 2

David Long is USEPA Region 6's Acting NPDES Enforcement Coordinator at the above address. If you have any questions about this inspection report, please contact Jennifer Foote at (505)827-0596 or at Jennifer.Foote@state.nm.us.

Sincerely,

/s/ Sarah Holcomb

Sarah Holcomb Program Manager Point Source Regulation Section Surface Water Quality Bureau

cc: Carol Peters-Wagnon, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail

David Long, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail

Robert Houston, USEPA (6EN)

Darlene Whitten-Hill, USEPA (6EN) by e-mail Michael Kesler, NMED District III by e-mail Peter Bennett, City of Las Cruces, by e-mail

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85



NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

	Section A: National Data System Coding																																			
Transaction Code NPDES yr/mo/day Inspec. Type Inspector Fac Type									/pe																											
1	N	2	5	3	N	M		R	0		5	3	4	2	2	8	11	12	L	1	7	0	3	2	0	1	7	18	~	_	19	9	S	20		
ı	~	_	~ I	_	О	1_	ı		l	ı		1_	L	١.	. 1	_	l	Rema	1	Ī		l _	I	٦	1_	۱	. 1		l	Ī	Ī	ı	ı		l I	
	S	E	C on Wor	T It De		R			U		11:4 T	F	tion R	C		D		P BI	<u> </u>	R	O QA	D	U	C	Т	S			Reserv	uad.	Ш					
	67	specii	on wo	K D	69					raci	70	3	lion K	aun	ıg		71	N	7	1	N N	73			74	1 7	5		Keser	Veu					80	
					-											Sec	ction	B: F:	acili	itv D)ata														•	
			tion of						ind	ustr	ial u	sers d	ischar	ging	g to I					Ť	Ent		ne /Da		,					mit E		ive I	Date			
New Aces Pecan Company 2455 Entrada Del Sol Las Cruces, NM 88001 Dona Ana County Exit Time/Date 2:50 PM 3/20/17 6-4-2020								2																												
Nam	Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Other Facility Data																																			
	Humberto Reyes, Plant Manager, 575-523-8550 GPS Natalie Flores, QA Coordinator, 575-523-8550 32.310717 -106.747858																																			
Nar	Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number SIC 2099																																			
2455	Humberto Reyes, Plant Manager 2455 Entrada Del Sol Las Cruces, NM 88001																																			
Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)																																				
S	Per	mit						N	N	Fl	ow N	1easu	remei	ıt				S	•	Ope	erations & Maintenance N					N	CSO/SSO									
S	Re	cords	Repor	ts				S		S	Self-N	Aonit	oring	Pro	grai	m		N		Sludge Handling/Disposal N					N	Pollution Prevention										
S	Fa	cility S	Site Re	view				N		C	omp	liance	Sche	dul	es			N	4	Pretreatment N					N	Multimedia										
N	Eff	luent	Receiv	ing '	Wate	rs		N				atory						S	-		orm Water N ach additional sheets if necessary)			N	Other:											
Coo	otto	hod a	haata f	an fu	nth o	n dot	o ila			Sect	ion I	D: Sui	nmar	y of	f Fin	ding	s/Coi	nmen	ts (Atta	ch a	lditio	nal sh	eets	if nec	essar	·y)			—	—					
See attached sheets for further details.																																				
Nan	ne(s)	and S	ignatu	re(s)	of In	spec	tor	(s)						A	Agen	cy/O	ffice	Telep	hor	ne/Fa	ax								Date	e						
Jenr	ifer l	oote	/s/ Jer	nife	r Foo	te								N	NME	ED/SV	WQB	505-	827-	-059	6								4-1	8-17						
Sign	atur	e of M	anage	men	t QA	Rev	iew	er							-	-		/Phor				umbe	ers						Dat							
Sara	h Ho	Sarah Holcomb, Program Manager /s/ Sarah Holcomb NMED/SWQB 505-							505-	827-	-279	8								4/17	/17															

<u>National</u>	Database Information	<u>General</u>			
Inspection Type	Compliance Evalu	ation	Inspector Name	Jennifer Foote	
NPDES ID Number	NMR053428		Telephone	505-827-0596	
Inspection Date	3/20/17		Entry Time	1:10 PM	
Inspector Type (circle one)	□EPA ⊠State	□EPA Oversight	Exit Time	2:50 PM	
Facility Sector/ SIC/Activity Code	Seciol o Food and i	Signature	/s/ Jennifer Foote		

Facility Location Information										
Name/Location/ Mailing Address	New Aces Pecan Company 2455 Entrada Del Sol Las Cruces, NM 88001									
GPS Coordinates	GPS Coordinates Latitude 32.310717 Longitude -106.747858									
Receiving Water(s) Las Cruces MS4 thence to Rio Grande in segment 20.6.4.101 NMAC										

Contact Information									
	Name(s)	Telephone							
Name(s) and Role(s) of All Parties Meeting the Definition of Operator	Humberto Reyes, Plant Manager	575-523-8550							
Facility Contact	Humberto Reyes, Plant Manager Natalie Flores, QA Coordinator	575-523-8550							
Authorized Official(s)	Humberto Reyes, Plant Manager	575-523-8550							

Basic Permit Inform	ation_	Basic SWPPP Information			
Permit Coverage	⊠Y	□N	SWPPP Prepared & Available ⊠ Y □	Ν	
Permit Type	⊠ General	□ Individual	SWPPP Contents Satisfactory Y	Ν	
Operational Date	March 2014		SWPPP Implementation 🖂 Y 🖂 Satisfactory	Ν	
NOI/Application Date	11-9-16		SWPPP Date 10-1-16		
If applicable, is no exposure certification on file?		□N ⊠N/A	Intentionally left blank		

SWPPP Review								
General			Notes:					
Was the SWPPP completed prior to NOI submission?	⊠ Y	□ N						
Copy of the NOI and acknowledgment letter from EPA?	× Y	□ N						
Copy of the permit language?	× Y	□ N						
Have copies of inspection reports/all other documentation been retained as part of the SWPPP for 3 years from date permit coverage expires?	× Y	N						
Does the SWPPP contain a signed/certified statement indicating that the site is inactive and unstaffed, and that there are no industrial materials or activities exposed to precipitation, in accordance with the substantive requirements in 40 CFR 122.26(g)(4)(iii)? Applicable to: Routine facility inspection (4.1.3) Quarterly visual assessment (4.2.3) Benchmark monitoring (6.2.1.3).	□ Y	Z	n/a					
Does the SWPPP include copies of relevant parts of other documents (e.g., SPCC) referenced in the SWPPP?	Y	□ N	n/a					
Does the SWPPP include documentation to support eligibility under the Endangered Species Act?	⊠ Y	□ N						
Does the SWPPP include documentation to support eligibility under the Historic Preservation Act?	× Y	□ N						
Does the SWPPP include documentation to support eligibility under NEPA (New Source)?	Y	□ N	N/A					
Did all "operators" sign/certify the SWPPP?	⊠ Y	□ N						
Is the storm water pollution prevention team identified (name or title)?	⊠ Y	□ N						
Are the storm water pollution prevention team's responsibilities identified?	⊠ Y	□ N						

Site Description		Notes:			
SWPPP provides a description of the facility's industrial activities?	⊠ Y	□ N	·		
Is there a general location map (e.g., USGS quadrangle map) with enough detail to identify the location of the facility and all receiving waters for storm water discharges?	Y	⊠ N	General location map does not show receiving waters		
Is there a site specific site map?	× Y	□ N			
Does the site map contain the size of the property in acres?	Y	⊠ N			
Does the site map contain the location and extent of significant structures and impervious surfaces?	× Y	□ N			
Does the site map contain directions of storm water flow (indicated by arrows)?	⊠ Y	□ N			
Does the site map contain locations of all existing structural control measures?	⊠ Y	□ N			
Does the site map contain locations of all receiving waters in the immediate vicinity of the facility, indicating if any of the waters are impaired, and if so, whether the waters have TMDLs established for them?	Y	□ N	N/A		
Does the site map contain locations of all storm water conveyances including ditches, pipes and swales?	□ Y	□ N	N/A		
Does the site map contain locations of all potential pollutants and significant materials identified under Part 5.1.3.2?	□ Y	⊠ N	Outdoor storage areas not located on map, hopper loading area not shown.		
Does the site map contain locations where significant spills or leaks identified under Part 5.1.3.3 have occurred?	Y	□ N	N/A		
Does the site map contain locations of all storm water monitoring points?	× Y	□ N			
Does the site map contain locations of storm water inlets and outfalls, with a unique identification (e.g., 001, 002) for each outfall and if substantially identical?	Y	⊠ N	Storm drain on north side that drains to treatment unit is not on map.		
Does the site map contain municipal separate storm sewers and where the facility discharges to them?	× Y	□ N	Map shows MS4 managed retention pond		
Does the site map contain locations and descriptions of all non-storm water discharges?	Y	□ N	N/A		

Site Description			Notes:
 Does the site map contain locations of the following activities where these activities are exposed to precipitation? Fueling stations Vehicle and equipment maintenance and/or cleaning areas Loading/unloading areas Locations used for the treatment, storage or disposal of wastes Liquid storage tanks Processing and storage areas Immediate access roads and rail lines used or travelled by carriers of raw materials, manufactured products, waste materials, or byproducts used or created by the facility Transfer areas for substances in bulk Machinery 	Y	×	Outdoor storage areas not located on map, hopper loading area not shown.
Does the site map contain locations and sources of run-on to the site from adjacent property that contains significant quantities of pollutants?	Y	□ N	N/A
Does the SWPPP document areas at the facility where industrial materials or activities are exposed to storm water and from which allowable non-storm water discharges are released?	Y	□ N	N/A. No approved non-stormwater discharges are described in plan.
Does the SWPPP include a list of the industrial activities exposed to storm water (e.g., material storage; equipment fueling, maintenance, and cleaning; cutting steel beams)?	□ Y	⊠ N	Not all material storage listed. Some metalwork occurs indoors for facility repairs and metal is stored outdoors. Supersacks and bins stored outside. Hopper and pecan shells/moisture not listed as potential pollutants/activities.
Does the SWPPP include a list of pollutants and/or pollutant constituents associated with each identified activity?	× Y	□ N	
Does the SWPPP include documentation of where spills and leaks occurred for three years prior to the preparation of the SWPPP?	⊠ Y	□ N	Includes information on non-permitted discharge which has been halted.

Site Description			Notes:
Does the SWPPP include a non-storm water discharge evaluation in the SWPPP? Does it include: Date Description of evaluation criteria List of the outfalls or onsite drainage points directly observed Different types of non-storm water discharges and source locations Actions taken such as a list of control measures for elimination.	□ Y	× N	Includes report and corrective action for pasteurizer tank. No other non-stormwater discharges evaluated. During inspection non-stormwater was noted on the north side of the facility, facility stated stormdrain is connected to wastewater treatment (photo 4).
Does salt storage occur at this facility?	Y	⊠ N	
Does the SWPPP include a summary of storm water sampling data for the previous permit term?	Y	□ N	N/A
Controls to Reduce Pollutants			Notes:
Does the SWPPP include documentation of the location and type of control measures at the facility to comply with the requirements in Part 2?	⊠ Y	□ N	
Does the SWPPP include documentation that selection and design of control measures were based on a consideration of the practices and procedures in Part 2.1.1?	Y	×	
Does the SWPPP include measures to minimize the exposure of manufacturing, processing, and material storage areas (including loading and unloading, storage, disposal, cleaning, maintenance, and fueling operations) to rain, snow, snowmelt, and runoff by either locating these industrial materials and activities inside or protecting them with storm resistant coverings?	×	Z	
Does the SWPPP include good housekeeping measures (e.g., keeping all exposed areas that are potential sources of pollutants clean, using such measures as sweeping at regular intervals, keeping materials orderly and labeled, and storing materials in appropriate containers)?	×	□ Z	

Controls to Reduce Pollutants		Notes:	
Does the SWPPP include a schedule for pickup and disposal of wastes and routine inspections of tanks and drums?	Y	⊠ N	
Does the SWPPP include preventative maintenance procedures, including regular inspections, testing, maintenance, and repair of all industrial equipment and systems, and control measures, and back-up practices should a runoff event occur while a control measure is off-line?	×	□ N	
Does the SWPPP include a schedule for preventative maintenance procedures?	Y	⊠ N	
Does the SWPPP include procedures for minimizing the potential for leaks, spills and other releases that may be exposed to storm water and develop plans for effective response to such spills if or when they occur?	× Y	N	
Does the facility implement procedures for plainly labeling containers (e.g., "Used Oil," "Spent Solvents," "Fertilizers and Pesticides," etc.) that could be susceptible to spillage or leakage to encourage proper handling and facilitate rapid response if spills or leaks occur?	Y	□ N	N/A
Does the facility implement preventative measures such as barriers between material storage and traffic areas, secondary containment provisions, and procedures for material storage and handling?	×	□ N	
Does the facility implement procedures for expeditiously stopping, containing, and cleaning up leaks, spills, and other releases?	× Y	□ N	
Does the facility train employees who may cause, detect, or respond to a spill or leak in these procedures and have necessary spill response equipment available?	× Y	N	
Does the facility document and follow procedures for notification of appropriate facility personnel, emergency response agencies, and regulatory agencies?	× Y	□ N	

Controls to Reduce Pollutants			Notes:
Does the SWPPP document erosion and sediment controls?	× Y	□ N	
Does the facility stabilize exposed areas and contain runoff using structural and/or non-structural control measures to minimize onsite erosion and sedimentation, and the resulting discharge of pollutants?	× Y	□ N	
Does the facility place flow velocity dissipation devices at discharge locations and within outfall channels where necessary to reduce erosion and/or settle out pollutants?	Y	□ N	N/A
If the facility stores salt at this facility, are the piles enclosed or covered? Does the facility implement appropriate measures (e.g., good housekeeping, diversions, containment) to minimize exposure resulting from adding to or removing materials from the pile?	Y	□ N	N/A
Employee Training – is there a schedule for regular (at least annually) employee training?	× Y	□ N	
Does training cover both the specific control measures used to achieve the effluent limits in Part 2 and monitoring, inspection, planning, reporting, and documentation requirements in other parts of the permit?	×	□ N	
Does the facility ensure that waste, garbage, and floatable debris are not discharged to receiving waters by keeping exposed areas free of such materials or by intercepting them before they are discharged?	× Y	N	
Does the facility minimize generation of dust and off-site tracking of raw, final, or waste materials?	× Y	□ N	
Has the facility eliminated non-storm water discharges not authorized by an NPDES permit?	× Y	□ N	Has been hooked up to wastewater pretreatment system.

Inspections (Part 4)								
<u>General</u>			Notes:					
Routine Facility Inspections								
Are routine facility inspections conducted at least quarterly while facility operating?		□ Z	Inspections conducted 10/3/17 and 1/17/17.					
 Are inspections documented, including: Date and time Name and signature of inspector Weather information and a description of discharge occurring at the time of the inspection Previously unidentified discharges from site Control measures needing maintenance or repairs Failed control measures that need replacement Incidents of noncompliance observed 	X Y							
Additional control measures needed.								
Exceptions, including (see 4.1.3): • Inactive and unstaffed sites	□ Y	Z	N/A					
Quarterly Visual Assessment	-							
Are quarterly visual assessments conducted?	⊠ Y	□ N	Visual Assessments conducted: 11/4/16, 1/15/2017					
Does the assessment consist of a sample collected:								
Within the first 30 minutes of discharge								
On discharges that occur at least 72 hours (3 days) from the previous discharge	Y	□ N						
Collected in a clean, clear glass or plastic container.								

Ins	Inspections					
Are assessments documented, including:						
•	Sample location					
•	Sample collection date/time & visual assessment date/time					
•	Personnel collecting sample & performing assessment and their signature					
•	Nature of the discharge (runoff or snowmelt)	⊠ Y	Z			
•	Results of observations (including color, odor, clarity, floating solids, settled solids, suspended solids, foam, oil sheen and other obvious indicators)					
•	Probable sources of contamination					
•	If applicable, reason for not taking samples within 1 st 30 minutes.					
Exceptions, including (see 4.2.3):						
•	Adverse weather conditions					
•	Climates with irregular storm water runoff					
•	Areas subject to snow	Y	N	N/A		
•	Substantially identical outfalls (per 5.1.5.2)	ī				
•	Inactive and unstaffed sites.					
Comprehensive Site Inspections						
Are comprehensive site inspections conducted annually (start 9/29/08)?		□ Y	□ N	N/A – Permit issued Nov 2016		
Conducted by qualified personnel including						
at least one member of the storm water pollution prevention team?		Υ	N	N/A		
Cover all areas of the facility?		□ Y	□ N	N/A		
Include a review of monitoring data? Do inspectors consider the results of the past year's visual and analytical monitoring when planning and conducting inspections?		□ Y	□ N	N/A		

Ins	Inspections				
Inc	lude observations of the following:				
•	Industrial materials, residue, or trash that may have or could come into contact with storm water				
•	Leaks or spills from industrial equipment, drums, tanks, and other containers				
•	Offsite tracking of industrial or waste materials, or sediment where vehicles enter or exit the site	□ Y	□ N	N/A	
•	Tracking or blowing of raw, final, or waste materials from areas of no exposure to exposed areas				
•	Control measures needing replacement, maintenance, or repair				
•	All storm water control measures observed.				
Are inspections documented, including:					
•	Date of inspection				
•	Names and titles of personnel making the inspection				
•	Findings from examination of areas of facility from Part 4.3.1				
•	All observations relating to implementation of control measures	□ Y	□ N	N/A	
•	Any required revisions to the SWPPP resulting from inspection	ī	1 1		
•	Any incidents of noncompliance identified OR certification that facility is in compliance with the permit				
•	A statement signed in accordance with Appendix B, Subsection 11				

Monitoring (Part 6)					
General			Notes:		
Does the SWPPP contain a procedure for conducting sector (and co-located) specific benchmark monitoring?	Y	□ N	N/A		
Does the SWPPP contain procedures for conducting effluent limitations guidelines monitoring?	□ Y	□ N	N/A		
Does the SWPPP contain a procedure for other monitoring (state or tribal specific; impaired waters; other as required)	□ Y	□ N	N/A		
Are samples analyzed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 136 methods?		□ N	N/A		
Benchmark Monitoring					
Does the monitoring consist of a sample collected: Within the first 30 minutes of discharge On discharges that occur at least 72 hours (3 days) from the previous discharge Document the date and duration (in hours) of the rainfall event, rainfall total (snow - date only) for that rainfall Prior to commingling.		Z	N/A		
Is monitoring conducted during each of the first four full quarterly (calendar) monitoring periods following permit coverage?		□ N	N/A		
Is the average of the first four quarterly samples < the parameter benchmark?		□ N	N/A		

Мо	Monitoring				
Is the average of the first four quarterly samples > the parameter benchmark?					
•	Make the necessary modifications				
•	Continue quarterly monitoring				
•	Determine and document that no further pollutant reductions are technologically available and economically practicable and achievable, continue monitoring once per year, notify EPA	Y	N	N/A	
•	Natural background pollutant level documentation				
Ex	ceptions, including (see 6.1 & 6.2):				
•	Adverse weather conditions				
•	Climates with irregular storm water runoff			N/A	
•	Snowmelt	Υ	Ν		
•	Substantially identical outfalls (per 5.1.5.2)				
•	Inactive and unstaffed sites.				
Eff	luent Limitations Monitoring				
Sampled once per year?		Y	Z	N/A	
Follow-up requirements if discharge exceeds effluent limit (see 6.3)?		Y	Z	N/A	
Other Required Monitoring					
•	State or Tribal provisions				
•	Discharges to impaired waters	Y	N	N/A	
•	Additional monitoring required by EPA.	ı	11	14/7	
Re	porting (Part 7)				
<u>General</u>				Notes:	
Is monitoring data reported to EPA within 30 days of receiving analytical results for the monitoring period?		Y	□ N	N/A	
afte	he annual report submitted by 45 days er conducting the comprehensive site pection?	X Y	□ N	Annual report submitted electronically.	
res sub	ollow-up effluent limitations monitoring sults exceed numeric limits, was a report omitted to EPA no later than 30 days after sults were received?	Y	□ N	N/A	

SWPPP Implementatio	n
Measures to minimize the exposure of manufacturing, processing, and material storage areas (including loading and unloading, storage, disposal, cleaning, maintenance, and fueling operations) to rain, snow, snowmelt, and runoff	(e.g., use grading, berming, or curbing to prevent runoff of contaminated flows and divert run-on away; locate materials, equipment, and activities so that leaks are contained in existing containment and diversion systems; clean up spills and leaks promptly using dry methods (e.g., absorbents) to prevent the discharge of pollutants; use drip pans and absorbents under or around leaky vehicles and equipment or store indoors where feasible; use spill/overflow protection equipment; drain fluids from equipment and vehicles prior to on-site storage or disposal; perform all cleaning operations indoors, under cover, or in bermed areas that prevent runoff and run-on and also that capture any overspray; and ensure that all washwater drains to a proper collection system) Site does not receive significant run-on. Most storage materials are stored off the ground and out of drainage path. (Photo 5)
Good Housekeeping	(e.g., keeping all exposed areas that are potential sources of pollutants clean, using such measures as sweeping at regular intervals, keeping materials orderly and labeled, and storing materials in appropriate containers) Site had been swept recently, site was orderly.
Preventative maintenance	(e.g., regular inspections, testing, maintenance, and repair of all industrial equipment and systems, and control measures, and back-up practices should a runoff event occur while a control measure is off-line) n/a

SWPPP Implementatio	SWPPP Implementation					
Spill Prevention and Response	(e.g., minimizing the potential for leaks, spills and other releases that may be exposed to storm water and develop plans for effective response to such spills if or when they occur)					
	Pasteurizer and pretreatment is installed over sump pit. New drain pipe from hopper installed to create permanent drain. (Photo 3)					
Erosion and Sediment Controls	(e.g., stabilize exposed areas and contain runoff using structural and/or non-structural control measures to minimize onsite erosion and sedimentation, flow velocity dissipation devices at discharge locations and within outfall channels)					
	Facility is paved and curbed.					
Management of Runoff	(e.g., divert, infiltrate, reuse, contain, or otherwise reduce storm water runoff, to minimize pollutants in discharges)					
	Facility is paved and curbed.					
Salt Storage Piles	(e.g., enclose or cover piles appropriate measures (e.g., good housekeeping, diversions, containment) to minimize exposure resulting from adding to or removing materials from the pile)					
	N/A					

SWPPP Implementation	n
Waste, Garbage and Floatable Debris	(e.g., keep exposed areas free of such materials or by intercepting them before they are discharged)
	Facility had been recently swept. Dumpsters were covered but drain plugs were open (photo 2)
Evidence of non- storm water discharges	Non-storm water discharges flow to treatment works. (photo 4)
Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of	(minimize generation of dust and off-site tracking of raw, final, or waste materials)
Industrial Materials	Truck beds are to be covered, roadways are paved. Site had been swept.

NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 1				
Photographer: J. Foote	Date: 3/20/17	Time: abt 2pm		
City/County: Las Cruces/Dona Ana Cour	nty	State: New Mexico		
Location: New Aces Pecan Co.				
Subject: staining shows some flow to the NW along Entrada del Sol Blvd				



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 2				
Photographer: J. Foote	Date: 3/20/17	Time: abt 2pm		
City/County: Las Cruces/Dona Ana County	State: New Mexico			
Location: New Aces Pecan Co.				
Subject: No plug on dumpster that has been leaking liquids				



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 3				
Photographer: J. Foote	Date: 3/20/17	Time: abt 2pm		
City/County: Las Cruces/Dona Ana County	State: New Mexico			
Location: New Aces Pecan Co.				
Subject: New drain pipe from hopper to wastewater pretreatment, area has been swept				



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 4				
Photographer: J. Foote	Date: 3/20/17	Time: abt 2pm		
City/County: Las Cruces/Dona Ana Cou	inty	State: New Mexico		
Location: New Aces Pecan Co.				
Subject: condensate from building to storm drain connected to wastewater pretreatment				



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 5				
Photographer: J. Foote	Date: 3/20/17	Time: abt 2pm		
City/County: Las Cruces/Dona Ana Cou	nty	State: New Mexico		
Location: New Aces Pecan Co.				
Subject: metal storage off ground				



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 6		
Photographer: J. Foote	Date: 3/20/17	Time: abt 2pm
City/County: Las Cruces/Dona Ana County		State: New Mexico
Location: New Aces Pecan Co.		
Subject: Outfall #1		

